Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the submission deadline stated in the Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:
- Unshelterd Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing – lists all new project applications applying for funding through the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside that were approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
  - Rural Set Aside Project Listing – lists all new project applications applying for funding through the Rural Set Aside that were approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
  - UFA Costs Project Listing – applicable and only visible for Collaborative Applicants that were designated as a Unified Funding Agency (UFA) during the FY 2022 CoC Program Registration process. Only 1 UFA Costs project application is permitted and must be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant. The UFA project must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.
  - CoC Planning Project Listing – Only 1 CoC planning project is permitted per CoC and must be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant. The CoC Planning project must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.
  - HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

  Things to Remember:
- All projects must be approved and ranked or rejected on the Project Listings. This includes funding for CoC Planning and UFA Costs, which must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered HOmelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.
  - Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
  - If a project application(s) is rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must notify the project applicant(s) no later than 15 days before the CoC Program Competition application deadline outside of e-snaps and include the reason for rejection.
  - For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
  - If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason after ranking has been completed, the ranking of other projects will not be affected: however, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND re-rank the project application BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

  Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.
  https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the Unsheltered and Rural
Homelessness Special NOFO Competition Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and Unsheltered
and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO Competition Priority Listing Navigational Guide on
HUD's website.
  https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Bakersfield-Kern Regional Homeless
Collaborative

Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

   To upload all project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.
   https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Kern PSH	2022-10-07 17:05:...	PH	Mercy House Livin...	$1,135,500	3 Years	4	PSH	
CoC Unsheltered -...	2022-09-29 18:35:...	HMIS	Kern Behavioral H...	$610,500	3 Years	6		
Fresh Start	2022-09-30 14:35:...	PH	Housing Authority...	$2,735,237	3 Years	1	PSH	
Joint TH-PH-RRH U...	2022-10-11 17:44:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Bethany Services ...	$2,819,651	3 Years	2		
Unsheltered Locat...	2022-10-11 18:11:...	SSO	Flood Bakersfield ...	$689,000	3 Years	5		

Rural Set Aside Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Rural Set Aside Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

  To upload all project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Rural Set Aside Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.
 https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type
This list contains no items							

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the ""Update List"" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?	Rank
CoC Planning Unsh...	2022-10-17 20:56:...	3 Years	Bakersfield Kern ...	$199,998	Yes	3

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after the you approved, ranked; or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds..

Title	Total Amount
Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	$7,989,888
Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside - Rejected Amount	$0
Rural Set Aside	$0
Rural Set Aside - Rejected Amount	$0
CoC Planning Amount	$199,998
Total CoC Request Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	$8,189,886
Total CoC Request Rural Set Aside	$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	$8,189,886

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	Certificate of Co...	10/17/2022
FY 2022 Rank Tool (optional)	No	FY 2022 Rank Tool	10/18/2022
Other	No		
Priority Listing	No	Priority Listing	10/18/2022

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certificate of Consistency

Attachment Details

Document Description: FY 2022 Rank Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Priority Listing

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2022 Special NOFO Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2022 Special NOFO Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	09/16/2022
2A. Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing	10/12/2022
2B. Rural Set Aside Project Listing	No Input Required
2D. CoC Planning Project Listing	10/17/2022
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	10/18/2022
Submission Summary	No Input Required

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Bakersfield/Kern County Continuum of Care (CoC-604)

Project Name: Various (See Attached List)

Location of the Project: Kern County

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: County Of Kern

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Loreiei H. Oviatt AICP

Title: Director, Kern County Planning and Natural Resources Department

Signature: _____

Date: 10|12|22

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Bakersfield/Kern County Continuum of Care (CoC-604)

Project Name: Various (See Attached List)

Location of the Project: City of Bakersfield

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City Of Bakersfield

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Christian Clegg

Title: City Manager

Signature: _CJClegg_

Date: 10/13/22

OMB Approval No. 2506-0112 (Exp. 7/31/2022)

Instructions for completing the HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

The following information must be completed by the Continuum of Care's designated Collaborative Applicant. If the CoC has multiple projects, it may complete a single HUD-2991 for the jurisdiction provided the Collaborative Applicant includes a list of all projects with applicant names, project names, and locations that will be submitted to HUD with the form when forwarding to the jurisdiction for signature. If there are multiple jurisdictions located within a CoC's geographic area, it must obtain a signed HUD-2991 for each jurisdiction where projects are located.

Completed by the CoC's Collaborative Applicant:

Applicant Name. Enter the name of the project applicant's organization.

Project Name. Enter the name of the project application that will be submitted to HUD in the Continuum of Care Program Competition.

Location of the Project. Enter the physical address of the project; however, if the project is designated as a domestic violence project, enter a P.O. Box or address of the main administrative office provided it is not the same address as the project.

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction. Enter the name of jurisdiction that will review the project information and certify consistency with the Consolidated Plan (e.g., City of…, County, State).

Must be completed by the certifying jurisdiction.

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction. Enter the name of the official who will sign the form.

Title. Enter the official title of the certifying official (e.g., mayor, county judge, state official).

Signature. The certifying official is to sign the form.

Date. Enter the date the certifying official signs the form.

Agency	Project	Type	Status	Location	Description
Alliance Against Family Violence and Sexual Assault	Joint Trasitional Housing & PH/RRH Project	TH&RRH	New	1922 19th st. Bakersfield CA 93301	The project will provide up to 24 months of assistance to 81 unsheltered, high service need persons per year. They receive TH or RRH services or both and wraparound supportive services including intensive case management and short- and medium-term rental assistance for RRH.
Flood Ministries	Street Outreach Project	SSO	New	1830 Truxtun Ave., Ste.210, Bakersfield CA 93301	This project is designed to fill two current gaps in services to indivdulas and families experiencing unsheltered homelessness in our community.
Housing Authority of the County of Kern	PSH- Fresh Start	PSH	New	601 24th st, Bakersfield, CA 93301	The Fresh Start (Program) will provide tenant-based rental assistance vouchers through the Housing First model, and will leverage the Cal-Aim program to increase successful placements and retention outcomes.
Mercy House Living Center	Scattered Sites	PSH	New	1900 E. Brudage Ln., Bakersfield CA 93307	Provide permanent Supportive Housing. Targeted units will be studios and 1-bedroom units to reduce the number of unsheltered chronically homeless adults with severe service needs in the community
Behavioral Health and Recovery Services	HMIS	HMIS	New	2001 28th st., Bakersfield, CA 93302	HMIS is a core function required by HUD to track, report, & evaluate homeless client services
Bakersfield-Kern Regional Homeless Collaborative	COC Planning	SSO	New	1900 E. Brudage Ln., Bakersfield CA 93307	BKRHC is the fiscal agent for the Bakersfield Kern Continuum of Care (CoC) which utilizes four core strategies as part of the work: housing, outreach/engagement, supportive services, and prevention

Navigation Pane
Version 6.0

GENERAL

ABOUT THE TOOL	RAW HIC DATA	LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED

RATING

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA	RENEW. + EXP. THRESHOLD	RENEW. + EXP. RATING TOOL	NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD	NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL	ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL	RATING RESULTS

RANKING

FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES	FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING

ABOUT THE CoC PROGRAM RATING & RANKING TOOL

ABOUT THE TOOL

HUD is providing this Rating and Ranking Tool to help CoCs design and implement a comprehensive annual CoC competition application review process. It has several customization features so you can choose the rating factors that are most relevant to your CoC and the priorities your CoC has adopted to inform system (re)design.

DISCLAIMER: HUD is explicitly stating that use of this tool is optional, is not being promoted over other tools CoCs currently use, and does not guarantee:
• additional points in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Program (CoC) Competition;
• CoC applications will be consistent with all NOFO requirements; and
• HUD will award CoCs with full points or funding.

The tool provides a strong framework for implementing a data-driven rating process and a ranking process informed by system priorities and capacity analysis (if available) and it satisfies the objective criteria requirement in the FY 2022 CoC Program NOFO. HUD strongly encourages CoCs to read the CoC Program NOFO carefully to determine if there are new opportunities, priorities, or expectations that your CoC might need to assess outside this tool. The Priority Listing is the official project ranking record for the CoC Program NOFO. HUD is not requiring CoCs to use this tool, nor is it preferred over other rating tools or processes, use of the tool does not guarantee additional points on the CoC Program application. HUD has made this tool available to CoCs for use in their year-round NOFO planning process. Feedback on the tool is welcome.

Microsoft Excel 2003 or higher is required when using this tool. When opening the tool workbook, you might need to click **"Enable Content", "Enable Editing",** and/or **"Enable Macros"** buttons in the yellow bar at the top of your screen. This is necessary for the macros and formulas to run correctly within the spreadsheet. If you get an error message, please check to see if the yellow bar is present and click these buttons before attempting to use the tool further. If you encounter a bug while using the tool, click **"End"** in the error pop-up, then navigate back to this tab and click the **"Turn On Macros"** button in the top right corner to ensure the underlying code is not disrupted before resuming. CoCs can submit technical questions about the Rating and Ranking Tool, including requesting help with bugs in the Tool, through the esnaps competition AAQ desk. Due to the complexity of the tool, problems might occur when multiple Excel files are open at the same time. To help alleviate this problem, you should close all other Excel files on your computer before running the following parts of the tool:
• Generating list of projects from Raw HIC data
• Populating rating results
• Generating project ranking

Users who get the red Security Risk bar when they open the tool will need to speak with their IT departments about their policies regarding macro-enabled Excel workbooks and how they can be reenabled for the Rating and Ranking process.

For further guidance, see the Guidance Document on HUD's website.

MIGRATING DATA TO NEWER VERSIONS OF THE TOOL

The version of the CoC Program Rating and Ranking Tool created for the FY22 CoC Program NOFO (Version 6.0 and higher) contains updates to the HIC and changes to how available funding in the NOFO is entered in the tool on the 'FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES' tab, CoCs are strongly encouraged to use the latest version for the FY22 CoC NOFO process. The Tool has been updated to reflect the FY22 NOFO to the greatest extent possible. CoCs are responsible for verifying that the rating and ranking process they use is consistent with the NOFO.

If a CoC has started using an earlier version of the Tool, the tool can be "upgraded" using the new Update Tool feature (see PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE). The feature will pull in all the CoC's entered data and specified criteria from the old version of the tool into the latest version of the tool, including data from all tabs up to the 'FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING' tab. The resulting file with have the same name as the old version of the tool, plus a "_FIXED" suffix at the end.

If a CoC decides to use earlier versions of the tool designed for the FY21 NOFO process, they should review the HUD threshold requirements in the 'RENEW. + EXP. THRESHOLD' and 'NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD' tabs and the NOFO information in the "General Funding Information" and "HUD CoC Program NOFO Opportunities" sections of the 'FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES' tab carefully to take into account any changes in HUD requirements or NOFO opportunities in the FY22 CoC NOFO.

PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE
1. In the latest tool downloaded from the HUD Exchange page, navigate to the **'ABOUT THE TOOL'** tab.
2. Click the "Update Tool" button in the top-right corner.
3. Follow the prompts to select your out-of-date tool with the data in it.
4. Wait up to 5 minutes for the tool to pull in all entered data and specified criteria. A pop-up will confirm the update is complete.

Click on this button to import your HIC data and generate the list of projects to be reviewed. If you prefer not to use the raw HIC data, skip this tab and proceed directly to the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab to enter project data directly.

Row #	Year	Proj. Type	Organization Name	HMIS Org ID	Use HMIS-comparable database	Project Name	HMIS Proj ID	Geo Code	HMIS-Participating	Inventory Type	Bed Type	Target Pop.	Beds HH w/ Children	Units HH w/ Children	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	Veteran Beds HH w/ Children	Youth Beds HH w/ Children	CH Beds HH w/ Children	Veteran Beds HH w/o Children	Youth Beds HH w/o Children

LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED

Sort projects by:

You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left. Please note that you cannot sort by "Renewal, New, Expansion…." until you have completed the green section of this form

| | = | *Auto-populated cell. Cannot be edited* |

Use your Grant Inventory Worksheet and project spending records to complete these columns

☑

Project ID	Organization Name	Project Name	Project Type	General/ DV	McKinney-Vento	McKinney-Vento: YHDP	McKinney-Vento: YHDP Renewals	Grant Number	CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	CoC Funding Requested	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate, Ignore	Geo Code	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	CH Beds HH w/o Children	CH Beds HH w/ only Children	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					
															0							0	0			0					

NAVIGATION

GO	Customize Threshold Requirements
GO	Filter Rating Factors
GO	Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
GO	Customize New Project Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

CoC Threshold Requirements   *(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

X	Coordinated Entry Participation
X	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
X	Documented, secured minimum match
X	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
X	Project is financially feasible
X	Applicant is active CoC participant
X	Application is complete and data are consistent
X	Data quality at or above 90%
X	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%
X	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

(The first five requirements ar...
the rating process either as Th...
Fa...

FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select...   *Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize*

Select special populatio...

Select...

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.

Performance Measures

Length of Stay

	Measure	Factor/Goal		Max Point Value	
X	RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15	days	20	points
X	RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30	days	20	points
X	PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15	days	20	points
X	PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15	days	20	points
X	TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	20	points
X	TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	20	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	10	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	10	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15	days	10	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15	days	10	points

Exits to Permanent Housing

	Measure	Factor/Goal		Max Point Value	
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80	%	25	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points

Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)

	Measure	Factor/Goal		Max Point Value	
X	RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points

X	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points
X	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points

New or Increased Income and Earned Income

X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8	%	2.5	points
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10	%	2.5	points
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15	%	2.5	points
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points
X	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5	points

Serve High Need Populations *(select from drop-down menu)*

X	Project focuses on chronically homeless people				
X	RRH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95	%	20	points
X	RRH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%		points
X	PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95	%	20	points
X	PSH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%		points
X	TH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95	%	20	points
X	TH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%		points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95	%	20	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%		points

Project Effectiveness

X	RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
X	RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points
X	PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
X	PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points
X	TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
X	TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points

X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points
X	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
X	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
X	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
X	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
X	TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
X	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV	95 %	10	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
X	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
X	RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
X	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
X	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
X	TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
X	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

X	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	10	points

Program Participant Outcomes

X	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	10	points

Other and Local Criteria   *(select from drop-down menu)*

X	CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	10	points

	Total Maximum Score		
RRH-General projects:	210	points
RRH-DV projects:	175	points
PSH-General projects:	210	points
PSH-DV projects:	175	points
TH-General projects:	210	points
TH-DV projects:	175	points
TH+RRH-General projects:	210	points
TH+RRH-DV projects:	175	points

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Experience		**Factor/Goal**	**Max Point Valu**	
X	General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	points
X	DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	points
	General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria			

X	for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10 points
X	DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10 points
X	General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	5 points
X	DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	5 points

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

X	General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15 points
X	DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15 points
X	General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	5 points
X	DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	5 points
X	General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5 points
X	DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5 points
X	General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	10 points
X	DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	10 points
X	General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	10 points
X	DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	10 points

Timeliness

X	General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10 points
X	DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10 points

Financial

X	General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5 points
X	DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5 points
	B. Organization's most recent audit:	
X	General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	5 points
X	DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	5 points
X	General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5 points
X	DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5 points
X	General-3. Indicates no findings	5 points
X	DV-3. Indicates no findings	5 points
X	General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5 points
X	DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5 points
X	General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20 points
X	DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20 points

Project Effectiveness

X	General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	95	%	5	points
X	DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	95	%	5	points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

X	New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10	points
X	New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))	Yes	10	points
X	New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one	Yes	10	points
X	New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes	Yes	10	points

Program Participant Outcomes

X	New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	10	points
X	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	10	points
X	New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	10	points

Other and Local Criteria

Total Maximum Score	General projects:	120	points

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____ *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: _____

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO

For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.

☐ Yes to all

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.

2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.

3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).

4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.

5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.

6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.

7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.

8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.

   a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or

   b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD

9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.

10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:

   a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;

   b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and

   c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.	
12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.	
13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321,and.S.C. 2313.)	
14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.	
15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.	
16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.	

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	
Documented, secured minimum match	
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	
Project is financially feasible	
Applicant is active CoC participant	
Application is complete and data are consistent	
Data quality at or above 90%	

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name:		Print Blank Template		Print Report Card
Organization Name:			Renewal/Expansion Projects Rating Complete	
Project Type:				
Project Identifier:		**Met all threshold requirements**	0%	

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					
Length of Stay					
Exits to Permanent Housing					
Returns to Homelessness					
New or Increased Income and Earned Income					
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of	0
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS					
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of	0
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS					
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of	0
EQUITY FACTORS					
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies					
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation		10	out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation		0	out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience		10	out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers		5	out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes					
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations		10	out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes		5	out of	10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data		10	out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			50	out of	70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA					
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards			out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of	10
TOTAL SCORE			50	out of	80
Weighted Rating Score			63	out of	100

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

| 0% |

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION				
CoC funding requested	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*		$ -	
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)				
Amount of private funding				
TOTAL PROJECT COST			$ -	
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*		$ -	
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*		$ -	
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year			0%	

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____ *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

| 0% |

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	**YES/NO**

For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative

☐ Yes to all

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.

2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.

3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).

4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.

5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.

6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.

7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.

8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.

   a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or

   b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD

9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.

10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:

   a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;

   b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and

   c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements

11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____   *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____   *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.	
13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321,and.S.C. 2313.)	
14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.	
15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.	
16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.	

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	
Documented, secured minimum match	
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	
Project is financially feasible	
Applicant is active CoC participant	
Application is complete and data are consistent	
Data quality at or above 90%	
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name:
Organization Name:
Project Type:
Project Identifier:

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

New Projects
Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE			
Experience Subtotal	0	out of	0
DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES			
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of	0
TIMELINESS			
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of	0
FINANCIAL			
B. Audit			
Financial Subtotal	0	out of	0
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS			
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of	0
EQUITY FACTORS			
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies			
Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions		out of	10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness		out of	10
Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness		out of	10
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers		out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes			
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations		out of	10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes		out of	10
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or underserved populations		out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal	0	out of	70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA			
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of	0
TOTAL SCORE	0	out of	70
Weighted Rating Score		out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
CoC funding requested	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*	$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		$ -

ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL

Sort projects by:

You can sort the project list below using the drop-down selection to the left.

☐ Yes to all threshold requirements

RATING TABLE

ENTER VALUES FOR ALL PROJECTS

By default, the threshold and score values will pull from any individually saved projects

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	General/ DV	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score (out of 100)
		#N/A							

RATING RESULTS

Sort projects by:

You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left.

Make sure to save any rating you've done before running.

    = Not all requirements met or threshold scoring not started

RATING RESULTS

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	General/ DV	McKinney-Vento: YHDP	McKinney-Vento: YHDP Renewals	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	CoC Funding Requested	Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, state, county, city)	Amount of private Funding	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score
		#N/A																										NOT RATED

GENERAL FUNDING INFORMATION

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): $ -	CoC Bonus Funding: $ -	DV Bonus: $ -	YHDP: $ -
			Total YHDP Renewals + Replacements
Adjusted ARD: $ -	Tier 1 Funding: $ -	Tier 2 Funding: $ -	
ARD-YHDP	*Adjusted ARD* 95%*	*(Adjusted ARD * 5% + CoC Bonus) + DV Bonus*	

FY2022 HUD CoC PROGRAM NOFO OPPORTUNITIES

Project Types to Consider for CoC Bonus/Reallocation:

- [ ] New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless individuals
- [✓] New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless families
- [✓] New RRH for Individuals
- [✓] New RRH for families
- [✓] New TH+RRH for Families
- [✓] New TH+RRH for Individuals
- [ ] New HMIS
- [ ] New SSO coordinated entry

Project Types to Consider for DV Bonus Funding:

- [✓] New RRH for individuals
- [✓] New RRH for families
- [✓] New TH+RRH for individuals
- [✓] New TH+RRH for families
- [✓] New SSO coordinated entry

FUNDING CEILINGS AND PRIORITIES BY PROJECT TYPE AND POPULATION

For each project type/population combination, specify the maximum number of beds (renewal and new combined), maximum level of funding (ceiling), and relative priority. If beds or $ are left blank, then projects within that category will not be capped. If the table below is blank, then projects will be ranked solely based on their rating scores. The ranking list will be generated in the following order:

1) HMIS and non-DV bonus-funded SSO-coordinated entry projects will be listed first in Tier 1 because they are required elements of a CoC's system. This does not mean that HUD is encouraging you to rank them first; rather you should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.

2) CoC Bonus/new DV bonus-funded projects will be ranked just like other projects, and will be highlighted in pink/gray formatting. You should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.

3) Projects in the high priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.

4) Projects in the medium priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.

5) Projects in the low priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.

6) Projects with unspecified priority, listed in order of their rating score.

7) Other SSO grants.

Projects that exceed the beds or $ targets specified on the chart will be listed in the "Projects Not Selected for Funding" section of the FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING tab because they represent inventory above the needs of the system. The CoC NOFO Committee may want to solicit additional projects to fill project type and population targets that are not met for this CoC Program NOFO or subsequent NOFOs.

Instructions on Completing Funding Ceilings and Priorities

Total $ Need Specified Below: $ -

		PSH			RRH			TH			TH+RRH	
		Beds	$	Priority	Beds	$	Priority	Beds	$	Priority	Beds	$	Priority
All Families [✓]													
DV Families [ ]													
Chronically Homeless Families [ ]													
Veteran Families [ ]													
Parenting Youth [ ]													
All Individuals [✓]													
DV Individuals [ ]													
Chronically Homeless Individuals [ ]													
Veteran Individuals [ ]													
Single Youth [✓]													

FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING

CoC Bonus Funding	$0		Tier 1 (Adj ARD*95%)	$0		Tier 2 (Adj ARD*5%+CoC Bonus) + DV Bonus	$0		DV Bonus Funding	$0		Projects Exceeding Adj ARD + CoC Bonus + DV Bonus	
Allocated	$0		Allocated from Tier 1	$0		Allocated from Tier 2	$0		Allocated to Tier 1	$0		Amount	$0
% Allocated	0%		Remaining	$0		Remaining	$0		Allocated to Tier 2	$0			
Remaining	$0								% Allocated	0%			
									Remaining	$0			

FUNDING ANALYSIS TABLE

	PSH		RRH		TH		TH+RRH	
	Allocated	% of Ceiling	Allocated	% of Ceiling	Allocated	% of Ceiling	Allocated	% of Ceiling
All Families	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
DV Families	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
Chronically Homeless Families	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
Veteran Families	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
Parenting Youth	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
All Individuals	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
DV Individuals	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
Chronically Homeless Individuals	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
Veteran Individuals	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -
Single Youth	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -	0 Beds $0	- -

MANUALLY EDIT!

Ranking	Priority Level	Weighted Rating Score	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Grant Number	Project Type	General/DV	Organization Name	Project Name	CoC Funding Requested	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	CoC Funding Recommendation (manual entry)	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Project ID
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																
									$ -	$ -	$ -																

RATING RESULTS

Sort projects by:

You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left.

Make sure to save any rating you've done before running.

    =   Not all requirements met or threshold scoring not started

RATING RESULTS

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	General/ DV	McKinney - Vento: YHDP	McKinney-Vento: YHDP Renewals	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	CoC Funding Requested	Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, state, county, city)	Amount of private Funding	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score
1		New	Unsheltered Homeessness Set Aside Project - HMIS Application	Kern Behavioral Health & Recovery Services	HMIS	General	No		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	$610,500			$0	Yes	Yes	0
3		New	Scattered Sites throughout Bakersfield and surrounding region	Mercy House Living Centers	PSH	General	No		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	$1,135,500			$0	Yes	Yes	66
5		New	Fresh Start	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	PSH	General	No		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	$3,256,000			$0	Yes	Yes	94
4		New	To Be Named Street Outreach Project	Flood Bakersfield Ministries	SSO	General	No		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	$689,000			$0	Yes	Yes	55
6		New	CoC Planning Grant	Bakersfield-Kern Regional Homeless Collaborative	SSO		0	No		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	$202,887			$0	Yes	Yes	71
2		New	Alliance Joint Transitional Housing & PH-Rapid Rehousing Project	Alliance Against Family Violence & Sexual Assault	TH+RRH	General	No		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	Yes	$2,296,000			$0	Yes	Yes	92